

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,021	04/23/2004	Hiroyoshi Funato	R2184.0312/P312	7637
24998 7550 12/03/2008 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1825 EYE STREET NW			EXAMINER	
			ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J	
Washington, DC 20006-5403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/830,021	FUNATO ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Martin J. Angebranndt	1795		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 11/11/08 & 9/4/08 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
  - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
  - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## NOTICE OF APPEAL

filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

## **AMENDMENTS**

- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
  - (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
    (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

  - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
  - appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
  - NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

  - Claim(s) allowed: none.
  - Claim(s) objected to: none Claim(s) rejected: 6-62.
  - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
- AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s), 9/4/08
- 13. Other:

/Martin J Angebranndt/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The issue of the arrangement of the sub-gratings (divided areas) of the grating being one above another is newly raised. This lanaguage enbraces the structure of the optical head grating illustrated in figure 2a of Tsuji et al.as well as the streucture illustrated in figures 25a-d of the instant application.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The grating areas 13c-e are above portions 13a,F and e in the citred figure of 15 upil et al. in the same manner as in figures 25c 4 of the instant application. The opilicant's representative fails to appreciate that the cited figures of the instant specification show these prior to cleavage into individual elements and the final elements are of course oriented flat to the optical propagation through the optical head. Their relative orientation on the water does not seem to matter and the applicant has not shown that it is. The applicant's attention is also directed to figure 5b of JP 2000-082232 made of record in the IDS of 94/08 which shows an arrangement of sub-gratings similar to those of figure 25c4 of the instant application. The only difference is the overall shape of the entire grating area. The applicant should consider MPEP 2144.04, sections 1, V( B) and V(I).

/Martin J Angebranndt/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795